

## **Photo-damages by chronic Infrared-A Irradiation for human dermal fibroblasts**

Jun Bae Lee<sup>1, \*</sup>, Hyejung Mok<sup>2</sup>, Gayeon You<sup>2</sup>, Su Ji Kim<sup>1</sup>, Jiwon Yang<sup>2</sup>, Jihyeon Song<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>R&I Center, COSMAX, Seongnam, Korea, Republic of (South); <sup>2</sup>Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea, Republic of (South)

\* Jun Bae Lee, R&I Center, COSMAX, Seongnam, Korea. Email: jblee@cosmax.com

### **Abstract (Maximum of 250 words)**

**Background:** In this study, we investigated cellular damages by acute and chronic infrared-A rays.

**Methods:** Two types of fibroblasts were irradiated with a customized solar infrared-A simulator (infrared-A intensity of 42 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) at well-controlled temperature conditions for 4 weeks.

**Results:** At physiological temperature (34°C), acute infrared-A irradiation on fibroblasts showed negligible effects on cell proliferation while there was slight changes in several gene expression e.g. matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP1) and collagen type-1  $\alpha$ -1 genes. Acute infrared-A irradiation only with heat stress (43°C) elicited reduced cell proliferation and decrease of procollagen type 1 C-terminal peptide. On the other hand, repeated infrared-A irradiation for 24 days (chronic infrared-A irradiation) significantly reduced cell proliferation, increased cellular reactive oxygen species, and elicited cellular apoptosis and morphological changes at physiological temperature. In particular, the degree of cellular responses by infrared-A was dependent on types of fibroblasts from different donors. In addition, the harmful effects by infrared-A irradiation on human fibroblasts were greatly protected with titanium dioxide-based emulsion.

**Conclusion:** Taken together, it is crucial to protect skin from harmful damages by chronic infrared-A itself for healthy skin and well aging during daily life.

**Keywords:** chronic irradiation; fibroblasts; infrared A ray; photoaging

## **Introduction.**

Infrared rays contribute up to approximately 45% of the total solar light. Particularly, infrared-A ray (700 to 1,400 nm) accounts for ~ 30% of total solar infrared rays [1]. It is well known that infrared-A ray can penetrate deeply to the dermis. In addition, considering total energy by infrared-A ray during whole lifetime, its biological impacts on skin integrity should be examined in detail. However, previous several studies investigated biological effects of infrared-A ray in various irradiation conditions, e.g. light sources with undefined wavelength, unnatural dose of infrared-A ray, and no temperature controls. Accordingly, cellular responses to infrared-A ray during long term irradiation need to be examined at a natural dose of irradiation in a temperature controlled manner.

In our previous study, we formulated hybrid microparticles composed of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  colloids with rough surface [2]. To evaluate hybrid microparticles as an infrared-A protector from natural level of infrared-A, infrared-A ray was generated with a customized solar infrared-A simulator (infrared-A intensity of  $42 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ ) at physiological temperature conditions ( $34^\circ\text{C}$ ) for 4 weeks in this study. Cellular damages of skin fibroblasts by repeated infrared A ray exposure were investigated at various infrared A doses and different time periods (4, 10, 17, and 24 days) at physiological temperature. In particular, two different human dermal fibroblasts from a different donor were used for this study. Cellular apoptosis and reactive oxygen species were determined by annexin V staining and 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFDA) assay, respectively. After repeated irradiation by infrared A ray to fibroblasts, changes in cellular morphology were observed by fluorescence microscopy.

## **Materials and Methods.**

Cell proliferation assay: Fibroblasts were plated on 35-mm dishes at a density of  $3 \times 10^4$  cells per dish. After 24 h of incubation, infrared A was irradiated on cell plates ( $42 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  for 4 h per day). Temperature in cell culture media was  $34^\circ\text{C}$  by a water-circulating plate equipped with a circulating water bath (Lab Korea, Korea). After repeated infrared A irradiation (3, 6, 10, and 14 times) for 4~ 24 days, total cell number was counted using the hemacytometer.

Annexin V staining: Fibroblasts were plated on 35-mm dishes at a density of  $1.5 \times 10^5$  (acute exposure) or  $3 \times 10^4$  cells (chronic exposure) per dish, 24 h prior to the first irradiation. The cells were then irradiated with infrared-A for acute (three times) and chronic (6 and 15 times) exposure. After the last irradiation, the cells were washed with PBS solution and were stained with FITC-labeled annexin V for 15 min at room temperature in the dark.

After washing cells thrice for 5 min with PBS solution containing 5% FBS, cells were fixed with formaldehyde (3.7%) in PBS solution for 10 min and visualized using inverted fluorescence microscopy (Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan). The fluorescence intensity within the cells was quantified using Image J software (National Institutes of Health, USA; <http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/>).

Reactive oxygen species assay: Fibroblasts were irradiated 3 and 14 times with infrared-A at 34°C, as stated previously. After the last irradiation, the cells were washed with PBS solution and stained with DCFDA (20  $\mu$ M) for 30 min at 37°C. After washing the cells with PBS solution, fluorescent images of DCFDA was visualized using inverted fluorescence microscopy. The fluorescence intensity within cells was analyzed using Image J software.

Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species was determined by Mitosox™ Red mitochondrial superoxide indicator, according to manufacturer's protocol. After 14 times of infrared-A exposure, fibroblasts were washed with PBS solution and incubated with MitoSOX (5  $\mu$ M) solution for 10 min. After incubation, fluorescence intensity within fibroblasts were visualized by inverted fluorescence microscopy.

Fibroblast morphology: After fibroblasts were then irradiated with infrared-A for three times and 14 times, cells were washed with PBS solution and stained with CellTracker™ Red dye (18  $\mu$ M) for 30 min at 37°C. Then, cells were washed with PBS solution and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS solution for 10 min. Morphology of each fibroblast was visualized via inverted fluorescence microscopy. The ratios of cell length to cell width were analyzed using Image J software.

## **Results.**

Cell proliferation assay: Fibroblasts with different doubling times were investigated to determine effects of infrared-A radiation on cell proliferation. Several times of infrared-A

radiation showed no changes for all two cells. However, as increasing number of infrared-A radiation upto 14 times, reduced cell proliferation was observed for all two cells. After 14 times of infrared-A radiation, statistically reduced cell proliferation was shown for all two cells.

Annexin V staining: Several infrared-A radiation showed negligible changes in fluorescence intensity after Annexin V staining. However, repeated infrared-A radiation (14-times) exhibited stronger annexin V signals by ~4-folds, compared to control cells.

Reactive oxygen species assay: After infrared-A radiation, cellular and mitochondrial reactive oxygen species were examined by DCFDA assay and MitoSOX staining, respectively. Several times of infrared-A radiation showed no noticeable fluorescence changes, while repeated infrared-A radiation (~14 times) exhibited evidently increased DCFDA fluorescence signal. In addition, after repeated infrared-A radiation (~14 times), strong red fluorescence signals was also observed after MitoSOX staining.

Fibroblast morphology: Size and morphology of fibroblasts showed negligible changes after several times of infrared-A radiation. However, repeated infrared-A radiation elicited morphological changes of fibroblasts to thin and elongated shape.

## **Discussion.**

In this study, natural level of infrared-A intensity (42 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) was radiated to fibroblasts at physiological temperature to examine effects of infrared-A intensity on skin cells clearly [3, 4]. Two types of fibroblasts from different donors were used to examine individual responses to repeated infrared-A radiation. Both cells showed evidently reduced cell proliferation by repeated infrared-A radiation. Annexin V staining results clearly indicate that repeated infrared-A irradiation elicited cellular apoptosis. Unnatural morphological changes in fibroblasts by repeated infrared A radiation clearly indicates cellular damages and aging of skin fibroblasts.

## **Conclusion.**

In this study, we clearly demonstrated that repeated infrared-A irradiation evidently reduced cell proliferation and induced cellular apoptosis without heat stress. However, three times of infrared-A irradiation at 34°C elicited no noticeable changes of cell

proliferation. Additionally, repeated infrared-A irradiation showed significantly high apoptotic signals, elevated reactive oxygen species generation, and morphological changes. Accordingly, repeated infrared-A irradiation played a crucial role on integrity of skin cells, which should be protected for healthy skin.

**Acknowledgments.** This study was supported by a research agreement with COSMAX, Republic of Korea. This study was also supported by a grant (NRF-2020R1A2B5B01001677) from the National Research Foundation, funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology.

**Conflict of Interest Statement.** NONE.

## **References.**

1. Krutmann J, Schalka S, Watson REB, Wei L, Morita A (2021) Daily photoprotection to prevent photoaging. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 37:482–489.
2. Kim SJ, Bae J, Lee SE, Lee JB, Park CH, Lim DH, Park MS and Ha J (2019) A novel in vivo test method for evaluating the infrared radiation protection provided by sunscreen products. *Skin Res. Technol*. 25: 890–895.
3. Kim JY, Kim SJ, You G, Choi ES, Lee JH, Mok H and Lee JB (2021) *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering* 26: 595–605
4. Yang J, Song J, Kim SJ, You G, Lee JB and Mok H (2022) *Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine* in press. doi: 10.1111/phpp.12793.